

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/522,577	01/28/2005	Hirokazu Takahashi	50395-314	8808	
20277	7590 05/11/2006		EXAMINER		
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 600 13TH STREET, N.W.			nguyen, Chau n		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2831		

DATE MAILED: 05/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	۱			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	+			
Office Assistant Community	10/522;577	TAKAHASHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chau N. Nguyen	2831	_			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Sply be timely filed IHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	9 March 2006.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	•	· •				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-8 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 3-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2006 is/arc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contact of the contact o	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appropriate to the property documents have been to the property (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Intensions S	ımmary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/522,577 Page 2

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figure 7 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,577

Art Unit: 2831

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1, 3-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamamoto et al. (6,677,534).

Yamamoto et al. discloses a shield cable comprising: two insulated wires, having diameters not more than 0.3 mm (col. 5, lines 21-22) and covered with a shield conductor and a sheath, each of said insulated wires comprising a signal conductor covered with an insulator, said shield conductor consisting of a plurality of shield layers (5, 6, 9), wherein a first shield layer constituting the innermost layer (5) of said plurality of shield layers consists of a plurality of conductors spirally wound at a pitch of 7 to 13 mm (col. 4, lines 37-39 and col. 5, lines 21-27, $\{(0.21x2) + (.03x2)\}$ x (10 to 20) = 4.8 to 9.6 mm); and said sheath and said plurality of shield layers integrally covering said insulated wires (re claim 1). Yamamoto et al. also discloses the second shield (6) being formed by spirally

winding a plurality of conductors on the first shield layer in a counter winding direction relative to that of the first shield layer (col. 2, lines 16-19) (re claim 3), the second shield layer being formed by winding a plurality of conductors on the first shield layer spirally in the same winding direction as that of the first shield layer (col. 2, lines 29-33) (re claim 4), the scroll pitch of the second shield layer being not more than the scroll pitch of the first shield layer (col. 7, lines 28-39) (re claim 5), and the shield cable being used for signal wiring to pass through a hinged portion of an information apparatus (re claim 7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto et al.

Yamamoto et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for a plurality of said cables being used to form a wiring component having a connecting terminal portion provided at least at one end. Although not specifically disclosed

by Yamamoto et al., it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use a plurality of shield cables of Yamamoto et al. to form a wiring component having a connecting terminal portion provided at least at one end for multiple transmission purposes since a wiring component comprising a plurality of shield cables, for multiple transmission purposes, with at least one connecting terminal portion at one end is well-known in the art.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chau N. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1980. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,577

Art Unit: 2831

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chau N Nguyen
Primary Examiner

Chaungry

Page 6

Art Unit 2831